Shoreline Master Program Update Second Public Hearing

Planning Commission

March 3, 2010



Why is the City updating the SMP?

- State mandates all jurisdictions must update their SMP
- State rules for managing shorelines changed in 2004
- Renton's current SMP is 30 years old and does not comply with state law





What do state rules require?

- SMP is based on an inventory of local conditions
- SMP as a whole must meet test of no net loss of ecological functions and processes
- SMP must ensure individual projects will result in no net loss
- Identify policies and programs that will restore ecological functions and processes
- Consider the cumulative impacts of permitted and exempt development





What is the process for adoption?

- Spring 2008- open house meeting to kick-off process
- Summer 2008- Winter 2009- meetings with Planning Commission on shoreline inventory and shoreline policy options
- Summer 2009- Autumn 2009- meetings with Planning Commission on shoreline regulations
- Autumn 2009- Winter 2010- Planning Commission hearings and deliberations and stakeholder meetings
- Spring 2010- City Council review and adoption
- Spring and Summer 2010- Department of Ecology review
- Autumn 2010- Final adoption and implementation





How will this affect property values?

- Regulations that protect resources and amenities protect property values- degradation of the resource hurts values more than restrictions
- Waterfront property is premium real estatewhen the same rules are applied to everyone, there is no decrease in value
- SMP gives additional flexibility in other development standards





What are the proposed rules for docks?

- New docks must comply with all proposed requirements
- Those who can not meet the standards for new docks may apply for a variance
- Boat lifts are permitted
- Boat houses are permitted if translucent materials are used in roofing
- Repair of existing docks allowed, subject to some standards





What are the repair standards for docks?

Previous Proposal

- May repair 30% or less without meeting any new standards
- Light penetrating materials required for repairs > 30%
- Repairs >50% require reconfiguring dock to meet new size requirements

Current Proposal

- May repair 30% or less without meeting any new standards
- Light penetrating materials required for repairs of 30%-100% of surface without meeting new size requirements
- Replacement of pilings will require reconfiguring dock to meet new size requirements

Other Options

- Higher threshold for piling replacement?
 30%? 50%?
- What is fair?
- What reduces nonconformity?
- How does it affect ecological process in the short and long term?



